

Annex B

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021 Disabled Parking Spaces (Hillberry)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXXXXXX X Hillberry	The resident opposes the re-positioning of the disabled parking bay in Hillberry. They state that it will block the entrance to the enclosed parking bay that it is next to. They add this is because a resident has a large vehicle.	<p>At present the markings in the parking area clearly designate this as a parking space and the Council is not aware of any previous complaints regarding parking in this area.</p> <p>A further site visit has been undertaken in the light of the comments received. A car was parked in the position being proposed and the visiting engineer was able to access and exit the adjacent parking area.</p> <p>Therefore, the Council is confident that proceeding with this proposal will not lead to the access of the adjacent car park becoming blocked. If the re-location of the bay is agreed, then the Council will inform the applicant of the comments raised and ask them to ensure their vehicle is parked within the space to ensure other residents can access the adjacent parking area.</p>	Proceed as advertised
X XXXXXXXX & X X XXXXXX Hillberry	<p>The residents are writing to oppose a proposal to re-locate the existing disabled parking bay in Hillberry.</p> <p>Firstly, they claim the existing user of the bay drives a large car which would obstruct the passage to the adjacent parking area. The residents add that their own car was hit two years ago when it was parked in the same space.</p> <p>Secondly the residents point out that there is already a marked out disabled parking space in the adjacent parking area that is no longer used.</p>	<p>At present the markings in the parking area clearly designate this as a parking space and the Council is not aware of any previous complaints regarding parking in this area.</p> <p>A further site visit has been undertaken in the light of the comments received. A car was parked in the position being proposed and the visiting engineer was able to access and exit the adjacent parking area.</p> <p>Therefore, the Council is confident that proceeding with this proposal will not lead to the access of the adjacent car park becoming blocked. If the re-location of the bay is agreed, then the Council will inform the</p>	Proceed as advertised

		applicant of the comments raised and ask them to ensure their vehicle is parked within the space to ensure other residents can access the adjacent parking area.	
--	--	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr G Birch – No comments received.

Cllr M Skinner – No comments received.

Cllr M Gibson – No comments received.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021 Disabled Parking Spaces (Ullswater)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	3

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXX & X X XXXXXX X Ullswater	The resident agrees with the space as it is convenient for them. They add that another space is welcome and the sooner the better.	The disabled parking space is being proposed after being applied for by another local resident. However, the space will be able to be used anyone with a valid blue disabled parking badge.	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXXXXX X Ullswater	<p>The resident states that the disabled spaces should be in the corner spaces to help disabled residents.</p> <p>The resident asks if double yellow lines could be installed in front of the bungalows to stop people parking there as it very difficult for them to get near to their house and difficult for family to pick them up and drop off.</p>	<p>The disabled parking space is being proposed after being applied for by another local resident and as such the position has been agreed with them.</p> <p>The Council tries to avoid positioning disabled parking spaces in the corner positions as there have been issues with cars becoming blocked in, resulting in spaces having to be re-positioned.</p> <p>Double yellow lines will only be considered where there is evidence of parking causing significant road safety or obstruction issues. Whilst the Council acknowledge the residents access issues, removing parking provision from this area is unlikely to receive widespread approval given the general lack of parking available.</p>	Proceed as advertised
Anonymous	<p>The resident objects to the proposed disabled parking space in Ullswater.</p> <p>They state that this particular area in Ullswater is underrepresented in regard to accessible parking. There has always been an issue with misuse of the existing bay and problems caused by vehicles parking too close. The proposed bay will not allow them access to their vehicle, especially if the vehicles are not parked in a considerate manner.</p>	<p>The Council has a policy of providing disabled parking spaces in existing residential areas based on residents applying for such bays. Therefore, should there be further disabled residents in Ullswater experiencing parking issues they are advised to apply for a disabled parking space.</p> <p>The location of the proposed disabled parking space has been agreed with the applicant, Furthermore, the Council is not aware of any complaints having been received regarding the position of the existing disabled space.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	<p>The resident suggests that a new disabled parking space is created next to the existing bay outside no 121 which would offer clear entry for disabled users.</p> <p>The resident also suggests creating additional parking by removing the grassed area outside no 121.</p>	<p>Unfortunately, the ever-increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas. Whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. Additional areas of parking have been identified in Ullswater but no funding for this work has been identified at this time.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr M Gbadebo - I believe I have made a similar comment to that made in the consultation document ref the location of the disabled bays. Happy for it to go to the Executive.

Cllr L Gibson – Fully support your recommendations.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021 Disabled Parking Spaces (Garswood)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXX X Garswood	<p>The resident states that they do not have an objection in principle but states that other things need to be taken into consideration such as the fact that the details of the applicant are not included in the consultation letter. This will have a bearing on how much the bay will be in use.</p> <p>The resident adds that as parking is in short supply, this proposal will make things worse and lead to bad feelings amongst neighbours.</p> <p>The resident states that this proposal should have been done in conjunction with providing more parking bays for the able-bodied residents and suggests the area adjacent to number 60 for this purpose.</p> <p>The resident has lived in Garswood since it's construction and parking has always been an issue and suggests that proposal would have been the opportunity to improve this.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed, and this location was agreed with them. Applicants must prove that they have reduced mobility which is backed up by a written statement by a medical professional.</p> <p>The applicant currently parks their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>The Council has investigated providing additional parking spaces in Garswood however planning permission could not be agreed so these were not taken forward. There are no further plans at present.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr M Brunel-Walker - I support the proposals, however I would add that we need to take a holistic approach to improving parking.

In each of these areas, whilst we are installing the new bays, can we also remark the existing remaining parking bays to maximise the spaces by at least visually showing where the actual spaces are.

Our response - Whilst we can certainly arrange for the parking bays to be re-marked in Nuthurst, we would not recommend doing so in Garswood. Experience shows that when parking areas are marked out with formal bays there is inevitably a loss of the number of spaces that can be provided. This is based on the need to mark out bays a certain size in accordance with highway guidance and the need to design for the worst-case scenario with regard to vehicle movements. Given the unique layout, the residents already make use of all the available parking space as best they can and it is unlikely that a formal parking scheme could replicate this and as stated before, would likely result in a loss of available parking. Clearly this would be unpopular with local residents and may ultimately lead to the markings being ignored and parking carrying on as it does now. It is for this reason we would recommend leaving the parking up to the residents to not obstruct each other.

Cllr Brunel-Walker - Thanks - doing it in Nuthurst would make a difference

Cllr C Dudley - Agree with the proposal.

Cllr S Hayes – Looks fine to me

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Nuthurst)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
<p>X X XXXXXX</p> <p>X Nuthurst</p>	<p>The resident does not oppose the proposed disabled parking space in Nuthurst but has concerns its effects on the current parking may be.</p> <p>The resident asks if the car park markings can be re-painted and if there is anywhere where additional parking areas could be constructed.</p> <p>Finally the resident asks what happens to the disabled parking space if the resident moves and it is no longer required.</p>	<p>Unfortunately, the ever-increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas. Whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. The Council installed a number of new parking spaces in various locations in Nuthurst approximately 7 years ago. There are no plans for additional spaces to be provided at this time.</p> <p>The parking areas in Nuthurst are the responsibility of Silva Homes. Whilst the Council has an agreement to implement disabled parking spaces, re-marking the entire parking bay would be Silva Homes responsibility. We will pass this request to them for their consideration.</p> <p>If the resident moves and the bay is no longer required then providing the Council has been made aware, arrangements can be made for the markings and sign to be re-moved and the space will revert to general use.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr M Brunel-Walker - I support the proposals, however I would add that we need to take a holistic approach to improving parking.

In each of these areas, whilst we are installing the new bays, can we also remark the existing remaining parking bays to maximise the spaces by at least visually showing where the actual spaces are.

Our response - Whilst we can certainly arrange for the parking bays to be re-marked in Nuthurst, we would not recommend doing so in Garswood. Experience shows that when parking areas are marked out with formal bays there is inevitably a loss of the number of spaces that can be provided. This is based on the need to mark out bays a certain size in accordance with highway guidance and the need to design for the worst-case scenario with regard to vehicle movements. Given the unique layout, the residents already make use of all the available parking space as best they can and it is unlikely that a formal parking scheme could replicate this and as stated before, would likely result in a loss of available parking. Clearly this would be unpopular with local residents and may ultimately lead to the markings being ignored and parking carrying on as it does now. It is for this reason we would recommend leaving the parking up to the residents to not obstruct each other.

Cllr Brunel-Walker - Thanks - doing it in Nuthurst would make a difference

Cllr C Dudley - Agree with the proposal.

Cllr S Hayes – Looks fine to me

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021 Disabled Parking Spaces (Swaledale)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXX Swaledale	<p>The resident asks if this space will be for the use of any blue badge holder? They are unaware of any blue badge holder living in the vicinity so why is this being done in light of the limited parking available for residents and their visitors.</p> <p>The resident states that they have two multiple occupancy houses in the area that which increases the number of people trying to park.</p>	<p>Whilst the bay will be for the use of anyone with a blue disabled badge, this particular bay has been applied for by a local resident. The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them. Applicants must prove that they have reduced mobility which is backed up by a written statement by a medical professional.</p> <p>The applicant currently parks their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr D Hamilton - Clearly we need to support those with disability needs. I also look forward to other new bays being created in these areas in due course to ease general parking issues too.

Cllr T Parker - Resident comments from Ingleton were fairly responded to, a challenge that will be faced across the borough regarding parking spaces. Thank you for confirming the resident from Swaledale's query - good that this has been matched to an identified local need.

I am supportive of the proposals but have no further comments at this time.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Agar Crescent)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXX X Agar Crescent	<p>The resident opposes the current position of the proposed disabled parking space.</p> <p>They state that the parking space has been placed directly upon the left-hand boundary of their property which will mean when occupied they will be unable to safely leave or access their driveway. In the position marked on the plan any vehicle parked in the space will block their ability to clearly see the road and oncoming traffic.</p> <p>They ask that the parking space is moved so that it sits centrally in front of the proposed property leaving an equal distance to the boundaries on the left and right sides.</p>	<p>The consultation plan was indicative of the proposed location. The installed disabled parking space will be located centrally with regards to the frontage of the applicant's property.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

Local Member comments

Cllr T Brown - If it is fairly straightforward to revise the drawing, then doing so would be very beneficial in alleviating any concerns now and in the future.
Can this be done please, to make the space central to the property frontage in the plan?

The plan has been amended to show the disabled bay more central to the applicant's property. The amended plan is shown in Annex A.

Cllr A Finch – No comments received.

Cllr J Green – No comments received.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021 Disabled Parking Spaces (Kimberley)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXX X Kimberley	<p>The resident wishes to raise some issues concerning the proposals to install 3 disabled parking spaces in Kimberley. Whilst the resident understands the need to provide disabled parking bays in crowded streets, they already struggle to get their car into their driveway as cars already park all over the road.</p> <p>The resident asks where will residents without disabled badges park?</p> <p>The resident claims that at present the bays are shared and parking is manageable but often parking obstructing their driveway occurs.</p> <p>The resident is concerned that with the current proposals residents will be forced to park more on the road further obstructing access to the cul-de-sac and their driveway. The residents add this would be exacerbated if a larger vehicle such as a fire engine or ambulance needed access.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the three applicants have met the criteria for the provision of disabled parking spaces, live near to where the spaces are proposed, and the locations have been agreed with them.</p> <p>We understand from discussions with the applicants that they currently park their vehicles within this area of parking. Therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>However, if a vehicle is parked in an obstructive or dangerous manner, even in areas where there are no parking restrictions present, this may be an offense which Thames Valley Police can enforce. Police forces in the UK have specific powers to deal with cases of obstruction and road safety hazards. Residents can report vehicles parked in a dangerous or obstructive manner to Thames Valley Police on the non-emergency telephone number (dial 101) who may be able to assist you in this matter. Alternatively residents can report incidents of this nature via https://www.thamesvalley.police.uk/contact/af/contact-us/</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr G Birch – No comments received.

Cllr M Skinner – No comments received.

Cllr M Gibson – No comments received.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2021		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Ingleton)		
Date Advertised:	16th December 2020	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
<p>X X XXXXX</p> <p>X Ingleton</p>	<p>The resident does not oppose the installation of a disabled parking space but feels there are a number of points that should be considered.</p> <p>The resident states that when they moved to Ingleton it was clear that residents parking was limited and had been built on the assumption that each household had one car.</p> <p>The resident has measured the existing parking area and points out that should a wide disabled bay be installed then there would be net reduction in parking spaces for other residents.</p> <p>The resident has suggested removing the grassed area adjacent to the garage block to provide additional parking.</p> <p>The resident is registered disabled and is considering applying for a disabled parking space. They also point out that the applicant has 3 cars in their household.</p>	<p>Unfortunately, the ever-increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas. Whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. There are no plans for extra parking provision in Ingleton at present, however it has now been added to the list of roads to be considered when extra funding becomes available.</p> <p>In residential situations the Council recognises the pressure on parking and normally marks out disabled parking bays, so they take up no more space than a general use bay unless a wider space has been specifically requested by the resident. This is not the case in Ingleton, therefore the parking spaces next to the proposed bay should not be adversely affected.</p> <p>The Council's current disabled parking policy sets no limit as to the number or percentage of total parking that can allocated for disabled parking so the resident is free to apply for an additional disabled space should they wish.</p> <p>The Council's current disabled parking policy sets no limit on the number of cars an applicant may have in their household. As the space will be contained within a legal Order, a valid blue disabled badge must be shown on whatever vehicle is parked in the disabled parking space.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr D Hamilton - Clearly we need to support those with disability needs. I also look forward to other new bays being created in these areas in due course to ease general parking issues too.

Cllr T Parker - Resident comments from Ingleton were fairly responded to, a challenge that will be faced across the borough regarding parking spaces. Thank you for confirming the resident from Swaledale's query - good that this has been matched to an identified local need.

I am supportive of the proposals but have no further comments at this time.